

Clifford F. Campbell, Esq. (SBN: 60734)  
[ccampbell@meyersnave.com](mailto:ccampbell@meyersnave.com)  
Tricia L. Hynes, Esq. (SBN: 212550)  
[thynes@meyersnave.com](mailto:thynes@meyersnave.com)  
Matthew A. Lavrinets, Esq. (SBN: 227234)  
[mlavrinets@meyersnave.com](mailto:mlavrinets@meyersnave.com)  
MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON  
555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500  
Oakland, CA 94607  
Telephone: (510) 808-2000  
Facsimile: (510) 444-1108

Attorneys for Defendants  
CITY OF PETALUMA, OFFICER NICK McGOWAN  
and SGT. JIM STEPHENSON

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID W. PIMENTEL,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF SONOMA, CITY OF  
PETALUMA, OFFICER McGOWAN, POLICE  
SGT. STEPHENSON, and DOES 1-25,

Defendants.

Case No: C08-02121 SBA

**DEFENDANTS CITY OF PETALUMA,  
OFFICER NICK McGOWAN AND SGT.  
JIM STEPHENSON'S RE-NOTICE OF  
JOINDER AND JOINDER TO  
DEFENDANT COUNTY OF SONOMA'S  
MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE  
PLEADINGS [FRCP 12(c)]**

DATE: July 1, 2008  
TIME: 1:00pm  
DEPT: Courtroom 3, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
JUDGE: Honorable Sandra Brown Armstrong

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on July 1, 2008 at 1:00 p.m. in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, Courtroom 3, which is located at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California 94612 Defendant CITY OF PETALUMA ("CITY") will join in the Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings filed by Defendant COUNTY OF SONOMA ("COUNTY").

The CITY seeks to have Plaintiff DAVID PIMENTEL's cause of action against it regarding the violation of his Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights dismissed. The grounds for this requested relief are identical to that asserted by the COUNTY in its Motion for Judgment on the

1 Pleadings. Specifically, as to his allegations against the CITY under the United States Constitution,  
2 the Plaintiff's Complaint fails to set forth a basis for liability as a matter of law, pursuant to *Monell*  
3 *v. Department of Social Services* (1978) 436 U.S. 658, 691 because the Plaintiff has not alleged that  
4 a policy, custom or practice of the CITY caused the alleged constitutional violation. The CITY thus  
5 cannot be held liable to the Plaintiff under a theory of *respondeat superior*.

6 The CITY's Joinder of the COUNTY's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings is based on  
7 this Notice of Joinder and Joinder, as well as the COUNTY's Notice of Motion, Memorandum of  
8 Points and Authorities, and other papers filed in support of its Motion, if any.

9  
10 Dated: June 3, 2008

Respectfully Submitted,

11 MEYERS, NAVE, RIBACK, SILVER & WILSON

12  
13 By: /s/ Matthew A. Lavrinets

14 Matthew A. Lavrinets  
15 Attorneys for Defendants  
16 CITY OF PETALUMA, OFFICER NICK  
McGOWAN and SGT. JIM STEPHENSON

17 1103193\_1.DOC  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE****FRCP RULE 5(b)**

I am employed in the City of Oakland and County of Alameda, California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson, 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500, Oakland, CA 94607.

On June 3, 2008, I served the within:

- **DEFENDANTS CITY OF PETALUMA, OFFICER NICK McGOWAN AND SGT. JIM STEPHENSON'S RE-NOTICE OF JOINDER AND JOINDER TO DEFENDANT COUNTY OF SONOMA'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS [FRCP 12(c)]**

on the parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:


David W. Pimentel 1192 Liberty Road Petaluma, CA 94952	Plaintiff in Pro Per Telephone: (707) 769-0127
--	---

X

**(By First-Class Mail)** I caused each such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the United States mail at Oakland, California. I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of mail in this office; and that in the ordinary course of business said document would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in Oakland on that same day. I understand that service shall be presumed invalid upon motion of a party served if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing contained in this declaration.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED at Oakland, California on June 3, 2008.

  
Elena D. LaBella